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## Understanding the Structure and Function of Membrane Proteins (MPs) Remains Challenging


$>$ Represented by $\mathbf{\sim 3 0 \%}$ of the sequenced genomes
$>$ Targeted by $\sim 70 \%$ of all drugs in the market
> Due to the difficulty to stably extract and reconstitute MPs with physiologically relevant conformational states, the structure and function of many MPs remain elusive
$\rightarrow$ New tools needed to support MPs in their "native-like" states

## Some Common MP-Supporting Platforms


$\rightarrow$ Whole cell or VLP suffers from low-abundancy of target MP and interference from irrelevant MPs

$\rightarrow$ Bicelle is limited by specific lipid/amphiphile combinations, and the need to purify target MP by detergent

$\rightarrow$ Detergent micelle may destabilize
MPs and alter their native conformations

$\rightarrow$ Proteoliposome is limited by the purification of MP target by detergent, the random orientation of reconstituted MPs, and undesirable light scattering for spectroscopy studies

## Supporting MPs with Lipid Nanodiscs (LNDs) Encased by Membrane Scaffold Proteins (MSPs)



Denisov IG and Sligar SG, Chem. Rev, 117:4669-4713 (2017)


He W et al, Protein Sci., 22:1078-1086 (2013)

## Pros

$>$ Support individual MPs in a native-Iike membrane environment
> Display target MP in aqueous solution with wellaccessible extracellular loops
$>$ Allow tag or fluorescent label to sort or purify target MP

## Cons

$>$ Still needs detergents to release MPs from their native membranes before reconstituting into LNDs
$>$ Stability problem - aggregation of LNDs into stacked "rouleaux" during storage or freeze-thaw cycles
> Interference from MSPs for some spectroscopic studies of target MPs, such as FT-IR, CD, Trp fluorescence etc.

## MSPs Are Amphipathic Random Copolymers

MSP1: 200 AAs, 10 a-helices

## MGHHHHHHIEGR



Table 1. Labels and Amino Acid Sequences of Membrane Scaffold Proteins Used for Self-Assembly of Nanodiscs ${ }^{a}$

| Abbreviation | Description | Amino Acid Sequence |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H1 | Helix 1 | LKLLDNWDSVTSTFSKLREQLG |
| H1 $\Delta(1-11)$ | Truncated Helix 1 | STFSKLREQLG |
| H1 $\Delta(1-17)$ | Truncated Helix 1 | REQLG |
| H2 | Helix 2 | PVTQEFWDNLEKETEGLRQEMS |
| H3 | Helix 3 | KDLEEVKAKVQ |
| H4 | Helix 4 | PYLDDFQKKWQEEMELYRQKVE |
| H5 | Helix 5 | PLRAELQEGARQKLHELQEKLS |
| H6 | Helix 6 | PLGEEMRDRARAHVDALRTHLA |
| H7 | Helix 7 | PYSDELRQRLAARLEALKENGG |
| H8 | Helix 8 | ARLAEYHAKATEHLSTLSEKAK |
| H9 | Helix 9 | PALEDLRQGLL |
| H10 | Helix 10 | PVLESFKVSFLSALEEYTKKLNTQ |
| FX | Original N-terminus | MGHHHHHHIEGR |
| TEV | Modified N- | MGHHHHHHH |
|  | terminus | DYDIPTTENLYFQG |

Helix 4,5 , and 6 are the 22 mers that can be repeatedly added between H 3 and H 4 (i.e. Q55 and P56) to become MSP1E1 (...H4-H4...), MSP1E2 (...H4-H5-H4-H5...), and MSP1E3 (...H4-H5-H6-H4-H5-H6 ...) with longer length.

## SMALPs: Styrene-Maleic Acid/Lipid Particles


"The present invention ... provide useful surfactants or solubilizing agents for certain substances, particularly drugs or other bioactive materials, and can be especially useful for producing aqueous solutions of substances that are lipid soluble but have poor aqueous solubility ..." (Malvern Lipodisq ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ )

## Polymer Encased Nanodiscs: SMALPs

SMA/lipid particle (SMALP): "monodispersed lipid disks" formed by treating liposomes or proteoliposomes with SMA copolymers. "The disks are $\sim 11 \mathrm{~nm}$ in diameter and contain $\sim 11$ PC lipids and a single protein" as estimated by the phosphate assay and $\mathrm{A}_{280}$.

(A) SEC of PgP (solid line) and bR (dashed line) incorporated into SMALPs; (B) TEM of SMALPs

## Extract MPs from Native Membranes into SMALPs

"The first solubilization and purification of a functional GPCR [human adenosine $A_{2 A}$ receptor $\left(A_{2 A} R\right)$ ], in the total absence of detergent at any stage" by forming SMALPs.

(A) Purification of SMALP-solubilized His-tagged $A_{2 A} R$ from $P$. pastoris; (B) Analysis of $A_{2 A} R$-SMALP from P. pastoris by SEC.

## Limitations of SMAs: Buffer Incompatibility





Fiori MC et al, Sci. Rep., 7:7432 (2017)
$\rightarrow$ SMALPs precipitate in buffers at low pH (i.e. $\mathrm{pH}<6$ ) or in the presence of multivalent ions $\left(\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}, \mathrm{Mg}^{2+}\right.$, etc. $)$

## Zwitterionic Styrene-Maleic Amide Copolymers


$2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{\sim} \sim \mathrm{SH}_{+}$




Altenberg GA and Liang HJ, "Polymer-encased nanodiscs with improved buffer compatibility", USP application no. 62552605 (2017); Licensed to Anatrace, Inc. (2019).

## Polymer Encased Nanodiscs: zSMALPs

| $S / \mathrm{MA}=1 / 1$ | Sample | MW from conversion | MW from NMR | GPC in DMF |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Mn | PDI |
| zSMA1 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{at}-\mathrm{MA})_{59}$ | 12,451 | 12,675 | NA | 1.085 |
| zSMA2 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{at}-\mathrm{MA})_{106}$ | 21,576 | 21,777 | 35,000 | 1.170 |
| zSMA3 | $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{at}-\mathrm{MA})_{215}$ | 43,708 | 43,795 | 53,800 | 1.197 |
| SMA | SMA (Xiran) | NA | NA | NA | 1.341 |




Fiori MC et al, Sci. Rep., 7:7432 (2017)
$\rightarrow$ Control the average size of zSMALPs by well-defined polymers

## zSMALPs with Unlimited Buffer Compatibility





Fiori MC et al, Sci. Rep., 7:7432 (2017)
$\rightarrow$ Unchanged size distribution of zSMALPs in buffers at low pH (i.e. $\mathrm{pH}<6$ ) or in the presence of multivalent ions

## Functional Assays of Membrane Proteins in zSMALP

Proteorhodopsin functional assays at different pH




ATPase activity of MsbA in the presence of $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}$

| 1 2 3 | 4 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| - | - | - | - |



Fiori MC et al, Sci. Rep., 7:7432 (2017)

## Compare zSMALPs Encased by Different zSMAs


$\rightarrow$ Turning polydisperse Malvern Lipodisq ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ SMA into zSMA ("M zSMA") and compare it with well-defined zSMA prepared via controlled/"living" polymerization;
$\rightarrow$ Compare the effect of buffer conditions, polymer-lipid interaction conditions, and polymer structure characteristics on solubilizing Halorhodopsin (HR) and MsbA into zSMALPs.

## Compare zSMALPs Encased by Different zSMAs

| S/MA | Sample Name | St:MA ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | M.W. (kDa) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | M.W. (kDa) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | PDI ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/1 | $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{St}_{31}-\mathrm{ran}-\mathrm{MA}_{31}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | 1.00/1.00 | 6.6 | 6.7 | 1.05 |
| 2/1 | $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{St}_{18}{ }_{18}\right.$-ran-MA9) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2.00/1.00 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 1.05 |
| 2/1 | $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{St}_{40}-\text { ran }-\mathrm{MA}_{21}\right)^{\text {a }}$ | 1.93/1.00 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 1.04 |
| 2/1 | P(St76-ran-MA 39$)^{\text {a }}$ | 1.95/1.00 | 12.6 | 12.0 | 1.13 |
| 1/1 | $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{St}\right.$-ran-MA) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.95/1.00 | 1 | 4.6 | 1.22 |
| 2/1 | $\mathrm{P}\left(\right.$ St-ran-MA) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.00/1.00 | I | 5.0 | 1.52 |
| 3/1 | P(St-ran-MA) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.86/1.00 | 1 | 5.7 | 1.59 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Synthesized via RAFT polymerization; bLipodisq® copolymers obtained from Malvern Cosmeceutics; 'Obtained by NMR analysis; dObtained by GPC analysis.



## zSMALPs Encased by Different zSMAs: S/MA ratio

Crude membrane: B21 E. coli; [Copolymer] = 1\%; [Salt] ~500 mM; pH 7.5 Tris; Copolymer M.W. (before converted to zSMA) ~ 5-6 kD; Det: 1.5\% DDM for HR; 2\% DDM/0.04\% sodium cholate for MsbA; Incubation time: 2h; SMA (2/1) control

$\rightarrow$ zSMA performs better than SMA or M zSMA for both MPs, and S/MA=2/1 zSMA works better than $1 / 1$ zSMA for MsbA not HR 15

## zSMALPs Encased by Different zSMAs: Chain Size

Crude membrane: B21 E. coli; [Salt] ~ 500 mM ; pH 7.5 Tris buffer; zSMA: S/MA=2/1; SMA M.W. (before conversion) ~ 3(S), 6(M), and 12(L) kD; Det: 1.5\% DDM for HR, 2\% DDM/0.04\% sodium cholate for MsbA; Time: 2 h

$\rightarrow[z S M A]=1 \%$ solubilize crude membrane better than 2.5\%; $\rightarrow$ At $[z S M A]=1 \%$, smaller zSMA solubilizes crude membrane slightly better than larger zSMAs

## zSMALPs Encased by Different zSMAs: Stability \& Function

Crude membrane: B21 E. coli; pH 7.5 Tris buffer; [Salt]=500mM; M.W. (before conversion) ~ 5-6 kD; MP: MsbA; Det: 2\% DDM/0.04\% sodium cholate


Solubilization of crude membrane


ATPase activity
$\rightarrow$ S/MA=2/1 zSMA is more efficient than $1 / 1$ in solubilizing MsbA from crude membrane to form zSMALPs with higher thermal stability, but the zSMALPs from $1 / 1$ zSMA show better activity

## Summary

> All zSMA shows higher efficiency than SMA (2/1) in extracting HR and MsbA from crude membranes into nanodiscs with no limitation on buffer conditions;
> Well-defined zSMA shows higher efficiency than polydisperse zSMA in extracting HR and MsbA from crude membranes, and can form nanodiscs with tunable diameters depending on the polymer chain size;
$>$ A good starting point: $1 \%$ zSMA in regular buffers ( $\mathrm{pH} 7-9$ ) with intermediate ionic strength ( $150-500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}$ ) at RT or $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 2 h incubation time works well for both HR and MsbA;
> The high efficiency of SMA-like polymers to solubilize membrane not necessarily translates to high yield of nanodisc formation - needs test with individual MPs.
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